Sectt. II-29—35,000—2-11-26.

Law (Education) (1927) Department.

1/15 SERIES.

G.O. No. 1183

DATED 21-7-27.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Subsidy - Taluk Boards - Supplementary grants towards the pay of additional Teachers in new Schools - declined.

A 11 Edn A 3168 27.6.27

N. Dis C. No 2399 /27
D.B.

Endorsement by M. R. Ry Rao Saheb B. Mahabala Avargal, B.A.B.L., President, District Board, South Kanara.

Submitted to Government for favourable consider-

20664

President, District Board,
South Kanara.

Mangalore, 17.6.1927

Edn

C U M 20664-1 Gen A 27.6.27

Z S E dept

Law & Edn. no.

C U M 24/6/27

M 25.6.27

C U M 25.6.27

Edn 3168 A 1 28.6.27

Law (Edn.) Dept

Referred to the Director of Public Instruction for remarks

(By order)

Ramamurthy 27/6

Asst Secy to Govt Law Dept

27/6

1930

DEPARTMENT.

Received ..... 192 *Registered* 192

Subject.

ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS - Provincial subsidy - opened in 1925-26 - Additional staff employed - soliciting sanction for additional recurring grant.

DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICE
No. C1188/27
27 MAY 1927
SOUTH KANARA

ENCLOSURES.
No. ONE
Spare copies

Ref.C. No. 1305-Sub/1927.

Station P U T T U R. *Dated the* 18th May 1927.

From (Name) M. R. Ry Molahalli Shiva Rau, Avl.,

(Designation) President, Taluk Board,

Uppinangady.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras

LAW (EDUCATION) Department.

Fort Saint George,

Through, Madras.

The President,

District Board - South Kanara.

and

The District Educational Officer,

Sir, South Kanara.

I have the honour to submit that thirty new schools were opened in the area of this Taluk Board in the year 1925-26 out of the Provincial Subsidies --- sanctioned in G. Os. Nos. 577 & 1550 Law (Education) - dated 23rd May and 4th September 1925 respectively. The subsidy provides only for a single teacher in each --- school. But owing to the increased attendance in the

marginally named nine schools, the necessity forced itself -- to employ 10 additional teachers as noted in the margin. These additional posts were not newly created by the Board but were - taken away from other schools - that existed before the opening of the thirty schools referred to above and were within the sanctioned number of - posts for schools. In addition to this, the present -- strength in the marginally noted schools out of the afore said -- thirty schools require an additional post teacher each.

Name of school	No. of extra teacher.
1.Aletti ..	1
2.Ariadka ..	1
3.Badagannur ..	1
4.Chikkamudnur..	1
5.Charmady ..	1
6.Kalmanja ..	1
7.Lalady ..	1
8.Kudipady ..	1
9.Shantigod ..	2
Total -	10

1. Bandar
2. Tannirpanta
3. Kaniyur.
4. Kemminje

2. As a result of shifting of the ten teachers from the old schools to the new provincial subsidy schools, some of the old schools are suffering for want of adequate staff. The schools noted in the margin are in need of on additional teacher each and the emplyment of the the same has been urged by the --- Deputy Inspectors, as well as by school committees. But I am unable to meet this need for want of funds.

1.Balnad.
2.Yenmur.
3.Savanur.
4.Machina.
5.Amarapadnur.
6.Bellare Higher Ele.
7.Vittal Higher Ele.
8.Aivarnad.
9. Uppinangady Girls'
10. Do Higher Ele.
11.Kotemandugar.

3. The Budget of the Elementary Education Fund of the current year does not admit of the creation of any new posts of teachers as the credits and debits therein are equal. Hence the Board is not in a position to sanction any additional posts to meet the growing -- demand and education is made to suffer. To take away the additional posts given to the new schools referred to para 1 supra and give them back to the old schools, would tend to the ruin of the prospering schools and at the same time to sanction additional posts to the old schools mentioned in para 2 supra is beyond the financial resourses od the Board. Thus, I was in a fix in the matter and therefore

referred it to the Taluk Board, who have decided to approach Government for relief. A copy of their resolution is herewith submitted.

4. The cost per annum on the additional staff employed in the nine schools mentioned in para 1 above, comes to about Rs 2,000/- and the cost of employing the extra staff in the four schools referred to in at the end of the same para will amount to a futher sum of Rs 800/

5. The concerned Deputy Inspectors were consulted in the matter and they are of opinion that the extra staff already employed in the new subsidy schools are indespensable.

6. I have, therefore the honour to request that Government may be pleased to sanction an additional recurring annual subsidy of Rs 2,800/- as detailed in para 4 supra in the interest of Provincial Subsidy schools.

Your most obedient servant,

V. Krishna Rao
Vice-President, 25/5.
Uppinangady Taluk Board.

U.N'U/23-5-27.

25/5

Despatched

26 MAY 1927

Extract from the Proceedings of the Meeting of the 5
Uppinangady Taluk Board held at Sampaje dated the 2nd May '27.

Present:-

1. M. Shiva Rau ... President,
2. N. S.Krishna Rau ....Vice-President.
3. B. Dasa Rai ... Member.
4. M. Ramanna Gowda
5. M. Janardhana Bhatta
6. Raja Laxminath Swmiji
7. K. Puvani ~~Beage~~ Hegade.
8. S. Yelianna
9. Rev. Father D. S.F. Coelho
10. M. Hukrappa Rai
11. K. Ramanna Rai
12. I. Rama Rau

Read the following papers-

* * *

33/1927-28. - Office note requesting the Board to accept the suggestion to address Government to sanction additional --- recurring ~~grant~~ subsidie to meet the extra cost on teachers entertained in the school opened ~~in~~ out of provincial subsidy in 1925-26 over and above the sanctioned posts for the schools.

Resolution.

Approved. Government will be addressed.

* * *

/ue copy/

N.S. Krishna Rau
Vice-President,
Uppinangady Taluk Board

U.N'U/25-5.

6

Letter R.C.No. 1305 Sub/27 dated 18.5.1927
of the President, Uppinangady Taluk Board.



N.Dis.No. 1188/27

Forwarded to the President, District Board, ~~Cannanore~~ South Kanara.

2. All the schools named in para 2 of the President's letter. excepting No. 9 (Uppinangady Girls', which is not under my jurisdiction) are in want of adequate staff; ~~and~~ early sanction of an additional teacher for each of these schools is quite essential - for their efficient working.

3. The President's request for additional subsidy for the boys' schools may, therefore, be favourably considered.

K. Sankara Menon

District Educational Officer,
South Kanara.

MANGALORE,
DATED 10TH JUNE '27.

K.S.11/6.

L a w (Education) DEPARTMENT.

C.F. 30—10,000—7-8-26.

Secl. Section
16 JUL 1927
Ed. Dept.

Received ..... 192 . Registered 192

Subject

Subsidy for the entertainment of additional staff in the new schools opened with the aid of provincial funds - Taluk Board, Uppinangady - Government endorsement - resubmitting with remarks.

ENCLOSURES.
No. .....
.....
Spare copies. .....

R.O.C. No. 1625 B/27

Station M a d r a s , *Dated the* 11th July 192

From (Name) R.G.Grieve Esq., M.A.,

(Designation) Ag:Director of Public Instruction

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

L a w (Education) Department.

Sir,

In resubmitting herewith the papers forwarded with Government Endorsement 3168 A-1 dated 28-6-27, I have the honour to state as follows:-

In connection with the payment of subsidies to local bodies towards the cost of entertainment of additional teachers in the new schools opened with the aid of Provincial funds, attention is invited to G.O.No. 1543 Mis., dated 16-8-26 wherein Government have observed that they are not prepared to take up the consideration of this question until the present programme of providing every village having a population of 500 and above, with a school consisting of a single teacher, has been

been completed. In accordance with this policy, Government have been declining the request of several local bodies for subsidy towards the cost of additional teachers in the new schools,- vide in this connection G.O.No.2013 L.E., dated 25-10-26,- declining subsidy for the entertainment of additional teachers to the Taluk Board, Chittoor.

2. In the circumstances explained above and so long as the policy enunciated in G.O.No. 1543 Mis., dated 16-8-26, continues, the President's request is not supported.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

M. Mandell

For Director o f Public Instruction

R 13/7

13/7

37/29/7

Cro 3576 A

Law (Edn) Dept

29-7-27

Subject

Thsd Eppicaugadi towards employment of addl teachers in new schools declines

Govt No 1283 / 29/7/27

Memo

The Govt are unable to sanction a subsidy to the Taluk board Eppicaugadi, towards the cost of employment of additional teachers in the new elementary Schools opened under Govt No 877 Edn dt 23-5-27 and No 1550 Edn dt 4-9-25

23/7/27

27.7.27

2

The P.T.B Eppicaugadi (with reference letter no 1305/27 dt 18-5-27

D.D.I (with reference letter no 1625 B/27 dt 11-7-27)

ASSISTANT REFT TO GOVT 29 JUL 1927 LAW DEPT.

DESPATCHED Initials [illegible] Date [illegible] 192

(We may be sanctioning subsidies for employing addl teachers, only on remission of Prof. contribution. But the question is still unsettled. The above follows the correct disposals adopted in previous cases)